

Responses of Non-Target Aquatic Organisms to Aqueous Propanil Exposure

M. T. Moore,¹ J. R. Pierce,² C. D. Milam,² J. L. Farris,² E. L. Winchester³

¹Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, University, MS 38877, USA

²Ecotoxicology Research Facility, Arkansas State University, State University, AR, USA

³Dames and Moore, Bethesda, MD 20814-4870, USA

Received: 12 January 1998/Accepted: 8 June 1998

Propanil (3',4'-dichloropropionanilide) is one of the world's most widely used rice herbicides, and it is extensively used in Arkansas, the leading rice producer in the United States (Webster and Gunnell 1992). On average, the United States has applied approximately five kg/ha/year to about 70-100% of rice hectareacreage for the past two decades (US EPA 1987; Schlenk and Moore 1993). Arkansas, in 1992 alone, applied over 2.7 million kg of propanil (Jackman 1994). It is important to understand the toxicity of such herbicides to non-target aquatic organisms because of the amounts of pesticide field application and the risk of mixture with water exiting fields. During agricultural applications, aerial drift or accidental spills may expose nearby non-target areas such as ponds, rivers, lakes, wetlands, etc. to herbicides. Predictions of possible impacts upon the diverse range of species found in these ecosystems are usually drawn from a somewhat limited number of toxicity tests with standardized organisms. Comparative toxicity tests should use species of different feeding preferences, habitats, physiology, and size to determine a toxicant's effects (Rodgers *et al.* 1997). The relative sensitivities of five freshwater aquatic test species to propanil were determined in aqueous laboratory exposures to provide a wider range of response data inclusive of amphibians, insects, and crustacea. Test species utilized in this study were a cladoceran (*Ceriodaphnia dubia*), an epibenthic amphipod (*Hyalella azteca*), a larval midge (*Chironomus tentans*), the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), and an amphibian (*Xenopus laevis*). Data generated from such comparative toxicity experiments may be used for future assessments of potential effects on non-target organisms following accidental (or intentional) exposures. Comparative slopes that are specific for each test organism can also offer resolution of risks associated with the recent movement toward using more concentrated pesticide products.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test organisms were cultured at the Arkansas State University Ecotoxicology Research Facility. Static 48-h acute toxicity experimental exposures were conducted with the organisms (US EPA 1993). *C. dubia* used for testing were < 24 hr old, while *H. azteca* were 7 -10 d old. Third instar (14 d) *C. tentans* were

tested in addition to 7 and 6 d old *P. promelas* and *X. laevis*, respectively. Toxicity experiments were conducted at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ under a 16:8 h photoperiod. Five *C. dubia* and five *H. azteca* were exposed in each of four replicate test chambers per propanil concentration. Ten *P. promelas* were placed in each of two replicate test chambers, while ten *C. tentans* and ten *X. laevis* were placed in each of four replicate test chambers. Test chambers were 250-ml wide-mouth borosilicate glass beakers. Organisms were not fed during experimental exposures. Laboratory synthetic water was used as both control and dilution water. Water chemistry parameters measured included dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, conductivity, alkalinity, and hardness (APHA 1992) (Table 1).

Table 1. Range of laboratory synthetic water characteristics used in propanil toxicity experiments.


Parameter	Unit	Synthetic water
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	≥ 6.0
pH	s.u.	7.2-8.2
Temperature	$^\circ\text{C}$	24-26
Conductivity	$\mu\text{mhos} / \text{cm}$	319-343
Alkalinity	mg/L as CaCO_3	60-64
Hardness	mg/L as CaCO_3	90-100

Propanil stock concentrations were prepared by dissolving known quantities of Stam M-4TM (Rohm and Haas Company, Philadelphia, PA) (43.5% active ingredient propanil) (Table 2) into one liter of Milli-QTM water. Aqueous exposure concentrations ranging from 0.1 - 100 mg/L (expressed as nominal concentrations) were prepared by dissolving quantities of the stock solution into laboratory synthetic water. A previous study by Moore and Farris (1997) indicated that mean percent recovery of propanil in aqueous exposures was 32%.

Organism survival data and exposure concentrations were used to calculate exposure-response curves, slopes, LC50s, as well as upper and lower thresholds. Upper threshold was defined as the concentration exhibiting a saturation of response (100% mortality). Lower threshold was defined as that concentration where approximately 110-20% mortality was observed. If controls exhibited any substantial or unacceptable mortality ($>10\%$), that specific experiment was ruled invalid. Point estimates (LC_{50}) were calculated using either Probit or Trimmed Spearman-Kärber analyses (Hamilton *et. al* 1977).

Table 2. Physical properties of propanil.

Structure¹



Water solubility ¹	225 mg/L
Molecular weight ¹	218.08 g
Specific gravity ^{1,2}	1.25 g/cm ³
Melting point ^{2,3}	92-93°C, 91-93°C
Vapor pressure ⁴	9 x 10 ⁻⁵ mmHg
K _{oc} ⁵	188
Persistence in soil (T _{1/2}) ^{5,6}	1-3 days

¹EXTOXNET 1993

²CHEMFINDER 1997

³Windholz 1976

⁴Worthing 1987

⁵USDA 1990

⁶WSSA 1989

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The microcrustacean *C. dubia* was the most sensitive organism tested, with a 48-hr LC₅₀ of 1.65 mg/L propanil (Table 3). Rohm and Haas (1991) reported a *Daphnia magna* 48-hr LC₅₀ of 0.14 mg/L using technical-grade propanil. *H. azteca*, an epibenthic invertebrate, was nearly four times less sensitive than the *C. dubia*, with a 48-hr LC₅₀ of 6.58 mg/L propanil. The vertebrates *X. laevis* and *P. promelas* demonstrated similar responses to aqueous exposures of propanil, with 48-hr LC₅₀s of 8.17 and 8.64 mg/L, respectively. Call *et al.* (1983) reported a 48-hr LC₅₀ for *P. promelas* and propanil of 10.2 mg/L, which corresponded well with results from this study. 96-hr LC₅₀s for other fish species (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, *Lepomis macrochirus*, and *Ictalurus punctatus*) exposed to propanil ranged from 2.3 - 6 mg/L (Rohm and Haas 1991; Meister 1992; and Schlenk and Moore 1993). The 48-hr LC₅₀ for *C. tentans*, a benthic invertebrate, was 17.09 mg/L propanil, approximately two times higher than those for both *X. laevis* and *P. promelas* and therefore represented the least sensitive organism tested.

Table 3. Propanil 48-h LC50 (95% C.I.) values, confidence intervals and exposure-response slopes for five aquatic test organisms (n=3).

Test Species	LC50 (C.I.) Threshold (mg/L)	Slope (% mortality / (mg/L)	Upper Threshold mg/L)	Lower (mg/L)
<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	1.52 (1.23, 1.88)	60	1.50	4.35
<i>C. dubia</i>	1.69 (1.49, 1.92)			
<i>C. dubia</i>	1.73 (1.18, 2.53)			
<i>Hyaella azteca</i>	5.64 (3.96, 8.03)	17	5.00 13.05	
<i>H. azteca</i>	7.03 (5.67, 7.85)			
<i>H. azteca</i>	7.07 (6.40, 7.80)			
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	8.13 (7.61, 8.70)	23	8.05	8.70
<i>X. laevis</i>	8.15 (8.09, 8.21)			
<i>X. laevis</i>	8.23 (8.19, 8.28)			
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	8.27 (7.24, 9.07)	7	6.50	13.92
<i>P. promelas</i>	8.76 (7.43, 10.30)			
<i>P. promelas</i>	8.90 (7.80, 9.77)			
<i>Chironomus tentans</i>	15.50 (13.9, 17.2)	5	12.5	43.5
<i>C. tentans</i>	16.20 (14.7, 18.0)			
<i>C. tentans</i>	19.60 (17.2, 22.4)			

Moore *et al.* (1998) discussed the utility of reporting both point estimates (LC₅₀s) and exposure-response slopes (potency estimations). By reporting these slopes, predictions of potential organism effects could be made over a greater range of possible concentrations. As evidenced in this study, the amphibian *X. laevis* was of intermediate sensitivity among organisms tested; however, any incremental increase of less than 1.0 mg/L above the lower threshold concentration (approximately 8.05 mg/L propanil) will result in the upper threshold concentration (100% mortality) (Table 3). These data would have been masked if only point estimates had been reported.

Lower threshold responses of organisms to propanil followed a pattern similar to the potency relationships. Lower thresholds for *C.dubia*, *H. azteca*, *P. promelas*, *X. laevis*, and *C. tentans* were 1.5, 5.0, 6.5, 8.05, and 12.5 mg/L propanil, respectively. Upper thresholds of response (100% mortality) observed for *C. dubia*, *X. laevis*, *H. azteca*, *P. promelas*, and *C. tentans* were 4.35, 8.7, 13.05, 13.92, and 43.5 mg/L propanil, respectively.

This research focuses on the importance of validation data for 1) extrapolation of laboratory toxicity data to different aquatic systems (Moore *et al.* 1998), 2) providing information for risk assessments for more concentrated pesticide formulations, and 3) providing evidence to protect organisms not included in earlier pesticide databases (i.e. amphibians, epibenthic crustaceans, etc.). By continuing to examine not only toxicity point estimates, but also exposure-response slopes, researchers can better predict potential effects on a wide range of non-target organisms. Such information could be beneficial to risk assessors, pesticide manufacturers, or any other interested persons, since it would allow a more informative decision concerning potential effects on aquatic biota to be made.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association (APHA) (1992) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 18th ed. Washington, DC
- Call DJ, Brooke LT, Kent RJ, Knuth ML, Anderson C, Moriarity C (1983) Toxicity, bioconcentration, and metabolism of the herbicide propanil (3',4'-dichloropropionanilide) in freshwater fish. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 12: 175-182
- CHEMFINDER electronic data base (1997) CambridgeSoft Corporation, Cambridge, MA
- EXTOXNET (Extension Toxicology Network) (1992) Cornell University, Ithaca, New York
- Hamilton MA, Russo RC, Thurston RV (1977) Trimmed Spearman-Kärber method for estimating median lethal concentrations in toxicity bioassays. *Environ Sci and Technol* 12:417
- Jackman J (1994) Agricultural pesticide use and relative hazard ratings in EPA Region VI states and a Texas case study of the Trinity River basin. EPA. Dallas, TX 134 pp
- Meister RT (ed.) (1992) Farm Chemicals Handbook '92. Meister Publishing Company, Willoughby, OH
- Moore MT, Farris JL (1997) Acute and chronic toxicity of the herbicide Stam®M- 4 in field and laboratory exposures. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 33: 199-202
- Moore MT, Huggett DB, Gillespie WB Jr., Rodgers JH Jr., Cooper CM (1998) Comparative toxicity of chlordane, chlorpyrifos, and aldicarb to four aquatic testing organisms. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 34: 152-157
- Rodgers JH Jr., Deaver E, Suedel BC, Rogers PL (1997) Comparative aqueous toxicity of silver compounds: Laboratory studies with freshwater species. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 58: 851-858
- Rohm and Haas (1991) Product: STAM Tech 98% DCA Herbicide (Key 904399-2). Rohm and Haas Company, Philadelphia, PA
- Schlenk D, Moore CT (1993) Distribution and elimination of the herbicide propanil in the channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Xenobiotics* 23(9): 1017-1024
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Soil Conservation Service (1990) (Nov.) SCS/ARS/CES Pesticide Properties Database: Version 2.0 summary. USDA SCS, Syracuse, NY
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (1987) Guidance for the registration of pesticide products containing propanil as the active ingredient. US EPA Case 0226, 12-23-87. Washington, D.C. U.S. Government Printing Office

- (1993) Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms. EPA/600/4-901027F. Washington, DC
- Webster RK, Gunnel1 PS (eds.) (1992) Compendium of rice diseases. APS Press, St. Paul, MN 62 pp
- Weed Science Society of America (WSSA) Herbicide Handbook Committee (1989) Herbicide Handbook of the Weed Science Society of America. 6th ed. WSSA, Champaign, IL
- Windholz M (ed.) (1976) The Merck Index: an encyclopedia of chemicals and drugs. 9th ed. Rahway, NJ
- Worthing CR (ed.) (1987) The pesticide manual: A world compendium. 8th ed. The British Crop Protection Council. Croydon, England